Fareed Zakaria: the Embodiment of Misinformation on Turkey

What are the sources of information of Fareed Zakaria speaking about Turkey? Islamic Extremists like Mustafa Akyol! Denounce them both!

In two earlier articles, I analyzed the circles to which Fareed Zakaria belongs, their plans of mass extermination in the Middle East, the plan implementation as initiated through the diffusion of the 'pending' 'Clash of Civilizations', and the plan's main obstacle, which is the existence of the secular and democratic state of Turkey able to crash any rising Arab thug of bogus-Messianic calling.

As Turkey represents the main 'problem', circles related to the apostate Freemasonic lodge joined forces in an unprecedented support to the Islamist extremist premier Erdogan, as they attempt to fully instrumentalize him against the military, judicial, academic, economic, intellectual and political establishment of Secular Turkey, and help him pull Turkey to the Islamist camp. This idiotic person would thus –without knowing it– help them trigger the planned disastrous developments.

When Mr. Gul becomes 'urbane'!
In a provocatively forged and irrelevant article, titled 'A Quiet Prayer for Democracy' and ridiculously published in Newsweek's May 14, 2007 issue (http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/18507649/site/newsweek/), Fareed Zakaria undertook the tragi-comical effort of depicting the secular democratic establishment of Turkey, which gathers overwhelming support among the country's population (higher than 70%), as 'threat for Democracy', and the lunatic Islamists Erdogan and Gul as the promise for a democratic future in Turkey! In so doing, Fareed Zakaria featured a most clownish telephone

conversation with Abdullah Gul, the extremist foreign minister, whom Zakaria calls 'urbane'! He could even have called him debonair!

What does this mean? Basics in Marketing are all one needs to grasp what is hidden behind the flattery of the Mumbai Indian to the Kayseri Turk; all foreign ministers have to be, as the head of the diplomacy in their country, sophisticated, cultured, refined, elegant, stylish, debonair, courteous, polished and urbane; they must not be uncouth, coarse, ill-mannered and crude. It goes without saying! Why writing something that goes without saying? Most probably because Mr. Abdullah Gul is not that urbane! Perhaps, he would not reach the point of putting his arm around the Queen of England, but this is not the only criterion in this regard. In the supposed telephone discussion, Fareed Zakaria gives Abdullah Gul the opportunity to 'convince' that they are not going to Islamize Turkey! This paranoid attempt is tantamount to asking Hitler in 1935 whether he would consider invading France, England and the Soviet Union! The Turkish foreign minister purportedly said:

"But why listen to what I'm saying now? Look at what we have done in government for four and a half years. We have worked harder than any party in Turkey's history to make this country a member of the European Union. We have passed hundreds of laws that have freed up the economy and strengthened human rights. Why would we do this if we were trying to Islamize Turkey?"

The treacherous way of Islamizing Turkey

This is an incredible lie! If Gul and Erdogan did not do legislative work of Islamizing Turkey, this is due to the rapport de force between the Islamist government and the President; he would veto any sort of similar legislation. They were not able to Islamize Turkey and they did not attempt to do so at the political level, as they behaved mendaciously, trying to gain time and stay longer in power.

However, they did indeed try to Islamize Turkey at the level of the society, and the mendacious and treacherous Mr. Gul does his ingenious best to forget and camouflage it. You do not Islamize a society by legislating and imposing politically rules and laws. You better achieve your goals by Islamizing the society of a country through social pressure, something that is politically 'correct' and tolerated by a hypocritical government. More specifically, while avoiding to discuss the subject of 'beaches for females only' at the Parliament, you mobilize your followers to form groups to exert pressure over people who swim in mixed beaches, you manage to have many imams speaking against the mixed beaches in their Friday prayer sermons, and you organize the lowest of your male supporters in a way that they regularly go to public beaches and start intimidating uncovered women and girls who swim and walk in the streets lightly dressed. You pay your thugs for this, it goes without saying! In brief, you make the daily life difficult to all those who

believe in a free and westernized country whereby aesthetics prevail in the dress code, not sexual instincts.

When, as mendacious extremist, you do not legislate to impose Islamic rules, but through your social pressure, gangster-like groups, you intimidate unmarried young couples from kissing each other in public, you certainly do not produce a single proof of your 'pro-secular commitment'; you just demonstrate that you are an untrustworthy extremist, a hypocritical and dictatorial, barbaric politician for whom 'Human Rights' and other similar terms are an empty word. In addition, you are not Muslim by definition, as a hypocritical person can never be a real Muslim.

That is why whatever Abdullah Gul says is trash. Turkey's Islamists have so far advanced using a plan that they devised out of a meticulous study of the barbaric societies of the so-called 'Arab world'. That is why it makes sense to have these gangsters accused of High Treason in Turkey. They betrayed the virtuous in order to serve the filthy and the corrupt targets of their miserable and barbaric backgrounds.

The Islamists' method: impose socially first and then confirm through legislation!

Trying to play the journalist, Fareed Zakaria should have first studied the Turkish society, and then checked what happens in the streets of a coastal city at a moment you have a weak Islamist government {issued out of a minority (33%) that turned out to become a parliamentary majority only because of the electoral law and due to the multi-division of the political spectrum} opposed to a staunch secular democratic President, and to an entirely Kemalist judicial, academic, and intellectual establishment. If the Presidency of the Republic is controlled by the hypocritical extremists, they will surely proceed through uncontrolled changes and they will implement politically what they have already tried to impose socially. The repugnant Islamic terror would then reign throughout Turkey.

Pathetically and ludicrously enough, Fareed Zakaria keeps the same style; the next excerpt is far more revealing of the burlesque character of the vicious propagandist turned 'journalist':

"I asked him whether he thought Turkey should adopt Sharia, Islamic law, which is a goal of almost all Islamist parties around the world. "No," he replied. "There is no possibility of introducing Sharia in Turkey. We are harmonizing Turkey's laws with the EU's standards in every area. Is this Sharia?" Gul is right".

What Sharia is and what it is not, if someone truly wants to find out the truth, is easy to grasp. Never shaking hands with men, wearing headscarf and gloves, segregating men and women, swimming without getting undressed

(for females only), avoiding to stay or to work in the same room with a man, all these attitudes are relevant to Islamic extremism, and –not to historical Sharia but– to what modern terrorists accept as Sharia. Again, Gul may say anything he likes, but his followers seek to impose -socially first and through legislation afterwards- all these attitudes; and this means that Erdogan and Gul want truly to impose Sharia throughout Turkey.

Gul tried to bemuse European and American readership – or Fareed Zakaria tried to depict him like that. However, a frank and honest journalist, who would care about getting genuine information for the readers, would not ask Mr. Gul all these useless questions. One question would suffice to place Mr. Gul in front of the dilemma 'tell the truth or lose your voters', which would reveal his real nature:

- Do you revere and intend to preserve the policies introduced by Kemal Ataturk as regards Latin alphabet for writing Turkish language, Sunday as officially accepted non-working day, and prohibition of the headscarf in public places?

Such a question would place Gul under pressure; he would try to show that he totally supports Kemal Ataturk, but this would have negative impact on his voters who learn to hate the founder of the Turkish Republic. He would end up using a greater dose of hypocrisy, suggesting that he accepts all the policies initiated by Kemal Ataturk. Now, you will get the truth through the stance of Erdogan's and Gul's followers; none of them would accept anything of Kemal Ataturk. This shows not a gap between a leader and his followers, but between the leader's publicly said falsehood and his back thoughts and secret plans.

Do you know Mustafa Akyul? Fareed Zakaria's authoritative source!
Then, Fareed Zakaria tries to purportedly attribute importance and seriousness to his 'analysis'; his method of doing so draws from old fashioned journalism. He mentions a person otherwise unknown to 99.99% of his readership. Even with modern Internet facilities, few would bother to make a search for the 'authority' Fareed Zakaria refers to! His text reads as following:

"The secular establishment's suspicions about the AK are best described by Turkish columnist Mustafa Akyul as "fact-free paranoia." The Army memorandum accusing the AK of Islamic tendencies points as evidence of an Islamic agenda to two isolated cases where headmasters allowed students to sing Qur'anic verses and celebrate Muhammad's birthday on Turkey's Republic Day. That's not exactly a sign of an impending theocracy".

This is extraordinary! The person he calls in support of his assertions as regards the secular establishment’s suspicions about the Islamists is one that would be most disdained and reviled by the Western readers of Fareed

Zakaria, if they only knew a few things about him and his worthless life.

Who is Mustafa Akyol, the supreme 'proof' of Fareed Zakaria's pertinent approach? Well, no more no less, this is a smart religious extremist, 35, who confined himself within the limits of Moral Philosophy and Cultural Anthropology, finding them good enough for him to base thereupon an extremist Islamist theology that confusingly enough he markets as 'moderate'!

Risen to prominence thanks to financial support granted by the notorious Discovery Institute, Mustafa Akyol helps them infiltrate in the new Turkish bogus-Islamic market and find therein many victims for various ramifications of the intelligent design concept. In so doing, he also helps as a link between the Erdogan and Gul gang and parts of the American establishment, which although they offer support to Turkey's Islamists, fails to avert the deeds of the Armenian and the Greek lobbies!

How odd! But Erdogan and Gul cannot understand!
The indescribable Mustafa Akyol is also supported by the doyen of the Turkish extremist theologians, Fethullah Gulen, a person who considerably contributed to the re-Islamization of Turkey's practicing Muslims. Turkey's Fethullah Gulen is a world threat of the same dimensions as Mullah Umar of Afghanistan. Mustafa Akyol is Fethullah Gulen's and Erdogan's right hand in the masqueraded affair of 'inter-cultural dialogue', which is a purely Islamic Terrorism simulation invention. This wicked person that errs among Turkey, America, and other parts of the world, moving incognito for most of the world's misinformed people, became Fareed Zakaria's most favorite 'argument' and 'proof'!

In other words, even if the rest of Zakaria's text was not as wrong and as distorted as it is, according to my earlier analysis, simple reference to Mustafa Akyol would suffice largely to automatically discredit it.

Now, it becomes clear why Fareed Zakaria adopts the facts-free paranoia of Mustafa Akyol; he is in parallel trajectory with the Islamic terrorist who hates the West, democracy, freedom, secular society, Turkey and the true, historical Islam. Furthermore, the Army memorandum accusing the AK of Islamic tendencies does not point "as evidence of an Islamic agenda to two isolated cases"; it just mentions two simple examples. To the eyes of the average Turkish public opinion that starts being terrorized on daily basis by the thuggish gangsters of Erdogan, this makes sense. To the Discovery Institute stipend recipient Mustafa Akyol, it does not make sense, as it means that he should change career at 35.

As for the unfortunate, erratic and repeatedly disparaged Fareed Zakaria, he must understand that, due to lack of both, historical knowledge and personal experience, he is the last to say what a "sign of an impending theocracy" is or

is not.

Then, the unstoppable Indian tackles another 'topic' that he intends to examine more closely, namely the position of the headscarf in Turkey! He goes through it, discussing it – again with the mendacious and primitive Mr. Gul. The text reads as follows:

"The other issue that keeps coming up is the headscarf, which under Turkey's coercive secularism is actually banned in public buildings. Gul's wife wears one, and Turkey's elites are in a tizzy that a man who will occupy Kemal Ataturk's position has a wife in a headscarf".

Here the pretentious Fareed Zakaria fails to remind his readers that the headscarf is a deeply loathed -by the westernized, democratic and progressive Turkish public opinion- symbol of anachronism and obscurantism; he also fails to state that headscarf equals excision. That's why he goes on, and on, and on! He says:

"Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan's daughters felt similarly and went to Indiana University, where they had the freedom to wear whatever they wanted—unlike in Turkey".

This shows how easily Fareed Zakaria compares tomatoes with helicopters! The US is not a Muslim country. It is an overwhelmingly Protestant Christian country, with very strong Christian Catholic, Christian Orthodox, and Jewish minorities. The headscarf would not create a problem in America as in Turkey. With 1% of the US population Muslim, even if half of the female US Muslims decide to wear headscarf, adhering to Islamic Extremism, the problem will still be minor, if compared with the situation in Turkey.

However, sooner or later the issue will become a major one in America, as the country has not devised a counter-balance policy to the Islamic Terror's plans of Islamizing America. When issues like this will be dealt with properly and seriously, things will change. America will have to outlaw headscarf, because it humiliates, dehumanizes and bestializes the female personality and life.

Fareed Zakaria goes on, insisting on his hypothetical, and in any case untrustworthy, conversation with Mr. Gul, who says:

"I have no intention of forcing or even asking anyone to wear a headscarf," Gul explained. "It's a matter of personal choice. Not all the women in my family wear them. If I don't ask my family to do it, why would I ask others? In fact, were I to try to force Turks to wear headscarves, there would be a negative reaction from my own family."

What an indictment! Do you really believe the guy who shamelessly has next

to him a wife wearing headscarf and intending to propagate the islamically correct practice of excision? After one year, Gul would say his family changed opinion. Even in this telephone interview with Fareed Zakaria, he reportedly stated the following:

"But as Muslim societies democratize, you will see greater religious expression everywhere in society" (sic).

When Mr. Gul says 'democratize', he means that his gangs of thugs walk free in the streets of every city and terrorize the rest of the local people, shouting that 'as Muslims' they must vote for Erdogan! This is the Gul democracy! This paranoid Islamist ends up revealing his goals, without even Fareed Zakaria realizing it. He says:

"It is a consequence of democracy. People in Muslim countries are devout, socially conservative".

This is Gul's filthy lie; Turks are not devout, and the majority of today's Muslims in Turkey do not give a damn about Islam and the Hereafter. Turks are not socially conservative; they love free sex, practice free premarital sex, adore nudism, and enjoy all sorts of extravagancy and exotic experience life can offer; the cannibalistic Mr. Gul and his illiterate thugs do not, but they are a minority.

Further on, speaking about Muslims, not in advanced Turkey but in backward and obscurantist dictatorships, one has to conclude that -again- they are not socially conservative. They are simply terrorized to go to the mosques; they are terrorized to condemn homosexuality in public whereas they practice it at a rate higher than 90% in all the so-called 'Arabic speaking countries'. They are terrorized by a social and political frame that Western diplomats either failed to notice or were criminal enough not to denounce in public. They practice premarital sex in a way not to expose the female partner's virginity; one can write volumes about this and all the untold stories bogus-journalists of the Western mass media are paid not to publish.

We end up our analysis with the indirect warning of the -so much venerated by Fareed Zakaria- disreputable terrorist Abdullah Gul; as Turkish foreign minister, he addresses the entire West, speaking about Muslim conservatism (this is his euphemism for Islamic Terrorism) like this:

"You cannot fight against this".

If this is so now, you understand what he will tell you, if he gets elected President of an Islamic Republic of Turkey!

Note: In picture, Mustafa Akyol, the enfant gaté of Turkey's Islamists.

By Prof. Dr. Muhammad Shamsaddin Megalommatis
Published: 5/27/2007